economic evaluation for health

DCP³

www.dcp-3.org info@dcp-3.org

Economic and Health Outcomes of Salt Reduction in South Africa (and so much more)

Rachel Nugent, Director Disease Control Priorities Network Department of Global Health, University of Washington

Acknowledgements: David Watkins, MD, Zachary Olson, MA, Stephane Verguet, PhD, Dean Jamison, PhD We are grateful to the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation for support of the Disease Control Priorities Network

27 March 2014

An economics & policy discussion in 3 parts

economic evaluation for health

Part 1: What is DCPN?

Part 2: Choices with Limited Resources

Part 3: Salt reduction in South Africa

economic evaluation for health

Disease Control Priorities Network

- DCP3
- DCP Country and Region Network



- Country Costing
- Optimization Models
- Institutional Network



economic evaluation for health

WORLD DEVELOPMENT REPORT 1993

NORLD DEVELOPMENT REPORT 199 VOPLD DEVELOPMENT REPORT 199



Disease Control Priorities in Developing Countries

SECOND EDITION

Editors

Jean I. Jamison Joel G. Breman Anthony R. Measham George Alleyne Mariam Claeson David B. Evans Prabhat Jha Anne Mills Philip Musgrove

SAVE THE DATE

Launch of the report by *The Lancet* Commission on Investing in Health Global Health 2035: A World Converging within a Generation On December 3, *The Lancet* will publish Global Health 2035: A World Converging within a Generation, a major new report by the Commission on Investing in Health. The Commission is chaired by Lawrence H. Summers, President Emeritus and Charles W. Eliot University Professor of Harvard University and co-chaired by Dean T. Jamison, Professor at the University of Washington. The report is being released on the 20th anniversary of the 1993 World Development Report. The Commission,

Disease Control Priorities, 3rd Edition

economic evaluation for health

DCF

DCP3 Volume Topics

- 1. Disease Control Priorities in Developing Countries
- 2. Reproductive, Maternal, Newborn and Child Health
- 3. Child and Adolescent Development
- 4. AIDS, STIs, TB and Malaria
- 5. Cardio-metabolic and Respiratory Diseases
- 6. Cancer
- 7. Environmental Health and Injury Prevention
- 8. Mental, Neurological and Substance Use Disorders
- 9. Essential Surgery

Disease Control Priorities, 3rd Edition

economic evaluation for health

- 9 \longrightarrow Number of volumes
- $5 \longrightarrow \text{Number of years}$
- $30 \longrightarrow Number of editors$
- 135 Number of chapters
- 400 \longrightarrow Number of authors

Production Timeline

economic evaluation for health

2013/14 — Writing of chapters, web publication

2014/15 — Editing and peer-led review

2014/16 → Dissemination

Objectives of DCP3

economic evaluation for health

- Inform allocation of resources across interventions and health service delivery platforms.
- Provide a comprehensive review of the efficacy and effectiveness of priority health interventions.
- Advance knowledge and practice of analytical methods for economic evaluation of health interventions.

economic evaluation for health

Background

- Health spending decisions are about packages, platforms, policies
- Need to broaden the results of economic evaluation
- CEACBA

Multiple Health System Outcomes

Equity

Definitions

Financial risk protection

Definitions

Example

Salt reduction policy in South Africa

economic evaluation for health

DCP³

- Improving health and the distribution of health in the population
- Prevention of medical impoverishment

Disease

 Fairness in the financial contribution toward health

January 8, 2014 -- London

Health system objectives

The WORLD HEALTH REPORT 2000

Health Systems: Improving Performance DCP Control Priorities

Disease

Measures of equity

economic evaluation for health

 Fairness in the distribution of health coverage (ex: measles vaccine coverage) (%) Manalas deaths per 1.000,000 births

Income Quintile (Poorest to Richest)

Fairness in the distribution \bullet of health outcomes (ex: measles deaths)

economic evaluation for health

DCP³

Measures of medical impoverishment

- When confronted with medical expenditures and inadequate financial protection, people can face high out-of-pocket (OOP) payments and fall into poverty
 - Threshold-base approach
 - Poverty cases averted
 - Forced Borrowing and Asset Sales
 - Money-metric value of insurance

economic evaluation for health

DCP³

Mechanisms of financial risk protection

- Moving from out-of-pocket payments to prepayment mechanisms reduces catastrophic expenditures (Xu et al. 2007; cross-country study)
- Public finance & social insurance packages bring significant risk reductions

México's Seguro Popular in 2004 (Knaul et al. 2006) Medicare in the US (Finkelstein and McKnight 2008)

From CEA to ECEA

economic evaluation for health

Cost Effectiveness Analysis (CEA)

Extended Cost Effectiveness Analysis (ECEA)

(1) Distributional consequences across wealth strata of populations(2) Financial risk protection benefits for households

Verguet, Laxminarayan & Jamison, Health Economics (in press)

January 8, 2014 -- London

economic evaluation for health

Economic Evidence for Making Choices

A thought experiment

You are the minister of health in Cambodia. You have **\$35 million** to spend on NCDs. Which of these do you choose? Who is covered for what?

Population prevention	Population screening
Low-cost: Tobacco taxation	What diseases? HTN?, DM?
High-cost: food regulations	What target groups?
[pushback from industry]	[unclear guidelines, costly]
Individual prevention	Individual treatment
Which meds are covered?	Low-cost: ACEI, BB, ASA?
How do you deliver care?	High-cost:
- Buy more HCWs?	- Acute, e.g., CABG
- Redistribute HCWs?	- Chronic, e.g., dialysis??

Some data snippets

822,080 – 1,423,960 cases of diabetes (2/3 unaware) 1,783,200 – 3,715,000 cases of hypertension No data on prevalence of CAD, CKD 48% of men and 3.6% of women use tobacco

King H. Lancet 2005; 366:1633 Singh PN. Bull WHO 2009; 87(12):905

Cost-benefit returns from selected investments

Priority Area	Indicative Benefit- Cost Ratio	Annual Costs (\$ billions)	Annual Benefits
1. Cancer, heart disease, other: tobacco taxation	40:1	0.5	1 million deaths averted or 20 million DALYs
2. Heart attacks (AMI): acute management with low-cost drugs	25:1	0.2	300,000 heart attack deaths averted each year or 4.5 million DALYs
3. Heart disease, strokes: salt reduction	20:1	1	1 million deaths averted or 20 million DALYs
4. Heart attacks and strokes: secondary prevention with 3-4 drugs in a "generic risk pill"	3:1	32	1.6 million deaths averted or 108 million DALYs averted

Source: Jha, Nugent, Verguet, Jamison, (2013) "Global Problems, Smart Solutions – Costs and Benefits" Oxford University Press

Economic Evaluation of Salt Reduction in South Africa

economic evaluation for health

- Burden of heart disease and stroke is increasing in low- and middle-income countries, due in part to spread of "Western" dietary habits (e.g., salty foods)
- South Africa is developing legislation to curb salt intake by regulating content in certain processed foods and educating public about discretionary salt use
- Policy will not only have health impacts, but financial and distributional effects
- Economic analysis is necessary to provide insight into how the policy will function in the context of the South African healthcare system

Lower salt intake means lower BP

(By inference, this means a lower risk of long-term CVD)

Extended Cost-Effectiveness Analysis (ECEA)

economic evaluation for health

Effects of Salt Reduction Policy

- Health gains (burden of disease averted)
- Financial consequences for household expenditures
- Where applicable, "crowding out" of private expenditures
- Financial protection benefits
 - Catastrophic expenditures or cases of poverty averted
 - "Insurance value"
- Distributional consequences (across income groups)

Salt reduction ECEA in South Africa: Results

economic evaluation for health

Estimates for a cohort of 1,000,000 South Africans over the age of 40

	Quintile I	Quintile II	Quintile III	Quintile IV	Quintile V
Deaths Averted	39	60	65	54	61
Aggregate Private Expenditures Averted (2012 USD)	1641	5109	65,535	136,679	202,493
# Cases of Catastrophic Expenditures Averted	3	6	17	40	26

Findings

economic evaluation for health

- Health gains relatively evenly distributed across income groups
- Because of South Africa's dual public-private healthcare system, Quintiles
 I-III receive less financial protection; private expenditures averted are
 concentrated in the uninsured and underinsured in Quintiles IV-V
- Reduction in catastrophic expenditures skews toward the wealthy
- For the entire SA population, during **each year** of the policy:
 - 3696 deaths averted
 - \$11.45 million in govt subsidies and \$5.57 million in private expenditures averted
 - 3038 cases of poverty and 750 cases of catastrophic health expenditure averted

Priority setting & UHC

economic evaluation for health

Goal: Design basic insurance packages, taking into account burden, costs, equity, medical impoverishment

FRP = financial risk protection (prevention of medical impoverishment)

Deaths averted

ECEA – Comparison across diseases/policies

economic evaluation for health

DLI

Health gains & financial protection afforded, per \$100,000 spent

Summative observations

economic evaluation for health

- Comparable quantitative measures are very powerful
- Precarious tension between complex contextualized model and generalized analysis
- Difficult to get data sufficiently broadly across disease/health topics, levels of health system, and population characteristics in a given country
- Importance of working with people who know their health systems, population, and policy priorities

economic evaluation for health

THANK YOU

<u>RNugent2@uw.edu</u> <u>www.dcp-3.org</u>

4///2014

Acknowledgements

DCPN-UW Team

- Dean Jamison
- Stéphane Verguet
- Rachel Nugent
- Carol Levin
- Elizabeth Brouwer
- Zach Olson
- Shane Murphy

ECEA Collaborators

- Jane Kim
- Monisha Sharma
- Rick Rheingans
- Roger Glass
- Ben Anderson
- Kjell Arne Johansson
- Clint Pecenka

January 8, 2014 -- London

Examples of policy instruments

economic evaluation for health

DCP³

- Public finance for a specific technology (ex: UHC)
- Social insurance packages (ex: México's Seguro Popular)
- Conditional cash transfers (ex: India's JSY)
- Taxation (ex: tobacco)

Disease

Control

- Regulatory policies (ex: salt reduction legislation)
- Disease elimination (ex: measles)

January 8, 2014 -- London

(All are A/c Payee Cheque only)